

MINUTES of the **REGULAR MEETING** of the **COUNCIL** of the **DISTRICT OF MISSION** held in the Council Chambers of the Municipal Hall, 8645 Stave Lake Street, Mission, British Columbia, on February 16, 2009 commencing at 6:30 p.m.

Council Members Present: Mayor James Atebe
Councillor Terry Gidda
Councillor Paul Horn
Councillor Plecas
Councillor Scudder
Councillor Heather Stewart

Council Members Absent: Councillor Jenny Stevens

Staff Members Present: Glen Robertson, chief administrative officer
Dennis Clark, director of corporate administration
Kelly Ridley, deputy director of corporate administration
Jennifer Russell, administrative clerk

1. RESOLUTION TO RESOLVE INTO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

RC09/077
FEB. 16/09

Moved by Councillor Scudder, seconded by Councillor Stewart, and

RESOLVED: That council now resolve itself into committee of the whole.
CARRIED

2. PROCLAMATIONS

RC09/078
FEB. 16/09

**March 2009 as “Kidney Health Month”
The Kidney Foundation of Canada, BC Branch**

Moved by Councillor Horn, and

RESOLVED: That March 2009 be proclaimed as "Kidney Health Month" within the District of Mission; the District to assume no costs related thereto.
CARRIED

RC09/079
FEB. 16/09

**May 17 to 23, 2009 as “Public Works Week”
Public Works Association of British Columbia**

Moved by Councillor Scudder, and

RESOLVED: That May 17 to 23, 2009 be proclaimed as "Public Works Week" within the District of Mission; the District to assume no costs related thereto.
CARRIED

3. DELEGATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

RC09/080
FEB. 16/09

**Presentation of Mart Kenny Staff Excellence Award to
Debbie Sanderson**

Mayor Atebe presented the a "Mart Kenny Staff Excellence Award" for service above

and beyond regular daily duties to Ms. Debbie Sanderson for coordinating all of the documents related to the southwest Mission process.

RC09/081
FEB. 16/09

Mission Community Heritage Commission
Re: Second Annual Heritage Achievement Awards

Val Billesberger and Guy Zecchini of the Mission Community Heritage Commission appeared before council to present heritage achievement awards, under the category of "advocacy and awareness" to:

Dorothy Crosby – for her outstanding volunteer service, contributions to fostering community awareness, and preservation of the community's irreplaceable heritage resources.

Cathy Marcellus – for her advocacy and leadership role in the innovative rehabilitation and preservation of 33070 – 5th Avenue (the old hospital building).

Mayor Atebe thanked Ms. Billesberger and Mr. Zecchini for making the presentation, and thanked the award recipients for their dedication and hard work to preserve Mission's heritage throughout the community.

RC09/082
FEB. 16/09

Steve Harvard, BC Transit
Re: Recommended Transit Expansion for March 2009

Steve Harvard, senior planner with BC Transit, appeared before council to present recommendations for transit expansion for March 2009.

Mr. Harvard provided an overview of ridership on both the Central Fraser Valley and the Mission transit system (44% increase over the past two years). He recognized the current issues facing Mission transit users, such as late buses and missing connections, and noted that the system needed changes to once again offer schedule reliability and transfer connections. He stated that route 32 has the highest ridership in Mission, and it is high ridership that actually causes delays, in that the bus needs to stop more often and allow more time for loading more passengers, which inevitably increases the time it takes for the bus to complete its route.

Mr. Harvard outlined the proposed plan as follows:

- replace the existing #32 – West Heights route with two new routes (#32 West Heights and #36 – Mission Central) to provide better on-time service
- change the #32 – West Heights route to offer 30 minute service along Alder and Cedar Street
- #36 – Mission Central will have two-way service along Grand Street

Mr. Harvard stated that the new route for #32 brings service to the Play Station, and 30 minute service to both the Sikh Temple and the Superstore. It also goes to the Mission Hills shopping centre on its way back downtown. The new route #36 provides service to both the Junction and the Mission Hills shopping centre.

In response to questions and comments from council, Mr. Harvard noted:

- There would still be 15 minute service in some areas, such as some areas on Grand and Cedar, in either direction.
- Upon investigation into having frequent two-way service from Mission Hills mall to the Junction mall, it was found that the timing was not sufficient to do that because of the left turn at Lougheed and Highway 11.
- The shopper shuttle runs hourly, and all other buses run every 30 minutes.
- If the proposed plan is put into place, all other transit routes would stay the same, i.e. there are no areas currently served that would not be served as a result of the changes.
- There are other transit systems that charge rates by distance or by location, and if council wanted to enact that type of situation for shuttle service between the two malls it could be done, although it would be challenging for the bus drivers to regulate.

Council asked that the transit committee consider the addition of two way, frequent service between the Junction and Mission Hills shopping centres, possibly at a reduced rate, as a priority.

In conclusion, Mr. Harvard stated that the possibility of increased service to Hatzic had now moved to the top of the priority list for next time.

The mayor thanked Mr. Harvard for the presentation.

RC09/083
FEB. 16/09

LATE DELEGATION – Rex Blane
Re: Meadowlands Development Proposal

Mr. Rex Blane appeared before council on behalf of the 10 property owners that form the Meadowlands proposal to ask council to consider the proposal as a possible urban community, and allowing it to become part of the usual planning process, involving co-operative planning and community input.

Mr. Blane stated the following:

- The proposal is being designed to fill a particular social and economic need in our community, which is the outflow of our fastest demographic; persons aged 50 to 55 years.
- The proposed plan well exceeds the official community plan requirement for agricultural land buffering, which was a concern with the previous design plan for development of this site.
- The proposed plan completely addresses the concern of connectivity to the east and increased traffic, in that there is no traffic to the neighbourhood to the east, although there will be a public walking trail through to the new neighbourhood.
- This plan will create a model of sustainability and includes transit service every half hour, with a connection to the West Coast Express.

In response to staff concerns noted in the reports, Mr. Blane stated:

- Last year there were 109 suburban type .88 acre lots created in the north Hatzic area (the Holman application), which is not accounted for in the calculation that the subject properties comprise over 13% of the entire suburban designation available in the Hatzic and north Hatzic area.
- Cedar Valley is an area that is developing for younger families, with a housing style that tends to be 2 and 3 floors, and accordingly is very different from the community that is proposed for this site, and therefore is not in competition.
- The owners of the subject properties have owned their land for about 20 years.
- The proposed plan is not attempting to create housing for seniors and/or persons with disabilities.
- The proposal is "adult-oriented" for maturing residents, and is a concept designed to attract empty nesters, but with all the concepts of living in place to allow people to live there into their later years.
- Allowing this proposal would not be a triggering effect for higher density development because further development cannot be done to the north or south because of the agricultural land reserve, and not to the east because the land is unserviceable (the water runs in a completely different watershed). This is an isolated and special area.
- The agricultural and urban interfaces would not be a concern because there is only very low intensity farmland to the north.

The mayor thanked Mr. Blane for the presentation.

4. PLANNING

Councillor Stewart assumed the Chair.

RC09/084
FEB. 16/09

**Official Community Plan and Rezoning Application R07-021
(Regnier) – 8738 Stave Lake Street, 34051, 34058, 34069, 34072, 34085,
34088, 34112 York Avenue, 34059, 34081, and 34097 Dewdney Trunk Road**

The committee reviewed a report from the deputy director of planning, dated February 16, 2009 regarding official community plan and rezoning application R07-021, for properties located at 8738 Stave Lake Street, 34051, 34058, 34069, 34072, 34085, 34088, 34112 York Avenue, 34059, 34081, and 34097 Dewdney Trunk Road.

Staff were directed to:

- (a) bring back a report forwarding application R07-021 for consideration of first and second readings, and that a public information meeting be held prior to a public hearing; and
- (b) begin the internal and external referral process.

RC09/085
FEB. 16/09

**Rezoning Application R09-001 (District of Mission) – Proposed Text
Amendment to “Boarding Use”, “Family” and “Accessory Boarding Use”
Definitions**

Moved by Councillor Horn, and

RECOMMENDED:

1. That in accordance with rezoning application R09-001 (District of Mission), the director of corporate administration prepare a bylaw to amend District of Mission zoning bylaw 3143-1998 by amending Section 102 INTERPRETATION by:
 - (a) deleting the definition of “BOARDING USE“ in its entirety and replacing it with the following:

“BOARDING USE means a use for the paid accommodation of persons that is contained entirely within a building which contains a One Unit Residential Use and is limited to not more than six bedrooms for a maximum sleeping accommodation for 10 persons. Meals for a boarder must be provided from the One Unit Residential Use.”,
 - (b) deleting the definition of “FAMILY” in its entirety and replacing it with the following:

“FAMILY means one or more persons related by blood or marriage including common-law, legal adoption or legal guardianship, designated foster children or family care, or not more than three (3) persons not necessarily related by blood, living together as a household.”,
 - (c) deleting the definition of “ACCESSORY BOARDING USE” in its entirety and replacing it with the following:

“ACCESSORY BOARDING USE means a use accessory to a ONE UNIT RESIDENTIAL USE, a TWO UNIT RESIDENTIAL USE for the paid temporary or full-time accommodation of persons, that is contained entirely within a dwelling unit, and limited to not more than two bedrooms and a maximum of two persons. A Bed and Breakfast operation is limited to not more than two bedrooms and a maximum of four persons, not including children under the age of sixteen (16). Meals for a boarder must be provided from the ONE UNIT RESIDENTIAL USE or TWO UNIT RESIDENTIAL USE.”; and
2. That the bylaw be considered for first and second readings at the regular council meeting on February 16, 2009; and
3. That following such readings, the bylaw be forwarded to a public hearing on March 23, 2009.

CARRIED

Staff were directed to refine the definition of "Bed and Breakfast" in the zoning bylaw.

RC09/086
FEB. 16/09

Establishing a New Land Use Policy – LAN.56 – Agricultural Land Reserve Exclusion Applications

Moved by Mayor Atebe, and

RECOMMENDED: That council approve a new land use policy entitled “LAN.56 – Agricultural Land Reserve Exclusion Applications” as follows:

The goal of the District of Mission’s policy for excluding land from the agricultural land reserve is to provide one comprehensive document, which is readily available to the public, outlining:

- 1) The process for applicants to follow when making applications for exclusion from the Agricultural Land Reserve and,
- 2) The criteria for Agricultural Land Reserve exclusion applications to be considered by Council.

1) Process for Exclusion Applications

The following is a summary of the steps to complete prior to submitting an application for exclusion of land from the Agricultural Land Reserve to the District of Mission.

Step 1

Make a development inquiry application to the District of Mission. The outcome of this meeting will provide you with a good sense of whether or not your proposal would be supported at a staff level.

Step 2

The following three (3) actions are required prior to submitting your application to the Agricultural Land Reserve Commission to exclude Agricultural Land Reserve lands.

Action 1

Advertise the notice of your intent to apply to the Land Reserve Commission for exclusion of the subject property from the Agricultural Land Reserve in the local newspaper.

- The notice must appear in the paper on two separate occasions.
- The notice must be advertised not less than 7 or more than 14 days apart.
- The wording in the notice must be as specified in the form “Notice of Exclusion Application Regarding Land in the Agricultural Land Reserve” (**Schedule 1**).

Action 2

You will be required to notify all adjacent registered owners of land, whose property is also located within the Agricultural Land Reserve, that share a common boundary or are separated by a public road right-of-way, of the application being made. If there are adjacent properties that are not in the Agricultural Land Reserve then they do not need to be notified.

- The notice must be served either in person or by registered mail.
- The notice must be served to the registered owner (not an occupant or renter).
- You must provide the registered owner with:
 - i. a copy of the signed exclusion application, and
 - ii. a copy of the notice of exclusion application – “Notice of Exclusion Application Regarding Land in the Agricultural Land Reserve” (**Schedule 1**).
- The adjacent registered owners must sign a “Proof of Serving Notice” form (**Schedule 2**).

Action 3

You will be required to post a sign on the land which provides details on the exclusion application.

- The sign must display enlarged copies of the notice and the application covering a minimum area of 60 cm x 120 cm.
- The wording of the notice on the sign must be the same as the notice of exclusion application in the newspaper.
- The sign must be placed at the midpoint of the property along a public road.
- The sign must be visible from the road and must not be covered from view by vegetation.

Step 3

The newspaper advertisement, the sign and the notification to adjacent Agricultural Land Reserve property owners, must be completed at least 14 days prior to the District of Mission acting on your exclusion application.

Step 4

Once steps 2 and 3 above have been completed you must submit to the Planning Department at the District of Mission:

- Both copies of the original notices from the newspaper, with the date of each publication clearly noted.
- The completed Proof of Serving Notice form from adjacent property owners.
- A photograph which clearly shows the sign posted on the property.
- **\$600.00** application fee.
- Any comments you have received from residents.

Step 5

Staff will prepare a report to Council regarding your application to the Agricultural Land Reserve Commission requesting a resolution from Council.

Step 6

Your application will be considered by Council.

2) Criteria for Consideration of Agricultural Land Reserve Exclusion Applications

The following criteria will be used to evaluate Agricultural Land Reserve Exclusion applications:

- 1. Soil Capability** – In general, Council will not consider exclusion application where the majority of Soil Capability Rating on the property is of a Class 1, 2 or 3 or where the majority of the improved Soil Capability Rating on the property is of a Class 1 or 2.

Furthermore, Council will not give favourable consideration to those properties where soils have become degraded due to poor farming practices, illegal dumping or filling, or where the property owner had wilfully despoiled the soil.

Consideration of each exclusion application in terms of Soil Capability will be based on a “on the ground” testing of the property by a professional Agrologist in a Report undertaking an assessment of the Soil Capability of the property that is to be paid for by the applicant.

- 2. Proposed Use** – All exclusion applications must accompany all other necessary land use applications to properly assess the impact of the application as it applies to the District as a whole.

In general, if an Agricultural Land Reserve exclusion application is deemed to be a departure from sustained growth (outside of the pre-determined growth areas) of the District, and will place speculative pressures on other Agricultural Land Reserve lands, the application may not be supported.

3. **Alternative Sites for Proposed Use** – An applicant must show that all other sites within the District of Mission cannot support the proposed use of the site whether serviced or not. If other sites are possible, the application may not be supported.

The applicant may be asked to provide a professionally prepared land use study that exhausts all sites within the District as being appropriate for the use intended.

4. **Location of the Site** – Careful consideration of the location of the site will be an important part of the exclusion application decision. Situations where an application may be supported include:

- a. Does the proposed land uses, both OCP designation and target Zone, represent a logical extension of land use?
- b. Will the proposed exclusion application inhibit, interfere or conflict with current agriculture on adjacent or surrounding properties in terms of access or conflicts of use?
- c. Does the exclusion application consider the logical boundary condition of the proposed site? Boundary conditions such as natural, physical or isolation of the site from adjacent or surrounding agricultural activities will be considered.

5. **Roads and Services** – Local roads in agricultural areas are often limited in terms of capacity to deal with an increase in traffic. Similarly, agricultural areas are typically not fully serviced nor will service capacities be large enough to support any change in use.

- a. Does the exclusion application offer a logical extension of roads?
- b. Are the existing road capacities enough for the proposed use?
- c. What mitigation factors are included with the exclusion application to avoid on road conflicts between agricultural uses and the proposed use?
- d. Does the exclusion application offer a logical extension of services to adequately address the needs of the proposed use?

6. **Interface Buffering** – In general, all exclusion application shall offer interface buffering between the proposed use and adjacent agricultural activities.

7. **Impacts of Adjacent Lands** – The exclusion application should address the impacts of the proposed use on adjacent and surrounding properties and community. In general, if the proposed use is deemed to cause a negative impact on the surrounding area or community, the application may not be supported.

8. **Compensation** – Council may consider a compensation package to offset the loss of Agricultural Land Reserve lands proposed in an

exclusion application. If compensation for land is required by Council, one of the following two requirements must be satisfied:

- a. The applicant must provide inclusion of non-Agricultural Land Reserve lands into the Agricultural Land Reserve meeting the following criteria:
 - i. The inclusion of lands into the Agricultural Land Reserve must be no cost to the District of Mission.
 - ii. The inclusion of lands into the Agricultural Land Reserve must be compensated at a 1:1 ratio so that no net loss off Agricultural Land Reserve lands is realized.
 - iii. The lands to be included in the Agricultural Land Reserve must be within the boundaries of the District of Mission and abut existing Agricultural Land Reserve lands.
 - iv. The lands proposed for inclusion must have an improved soil capability rating of Class 3 or better. The Soil Capability will be based on a "on the ground" testing of the property by a professional Agrologist in a Report undertaking an assessment of the Soil Capability of the property.
- b. The applicant must improve existing, under-utilized Agricultural Land Reserve lands where:
 - i. The acquisition and improvement of lands must be no cost to the District of Mission.
 - ii. The improvement of Agricultural Land Reserve lands must be compensated at a 2:1 ratio.
 - iii. The lands to be improved upon must be within the boundaries of the District of Mission.
 - iv. The lands proposed to be improved upon must have an improved soil capability rating of Class 3 or better. The Soil Capability will be based on a "on the ground" testing of the property by a professional Agrologist in a Report undertaking an assessment of the Soil Capability of the property.

Summation

Each exclusion application will be assessed by the overall community benefit, or detriment, that the exclusion application may reap, for the District of Mission as a whole.

SCHEDULE 1

Applicant Information Package

NOTICE OF EXCLUSION APPLICATION REGARDING LAND IN THE AGRICULTURAL LAND RESERVE

I, (full name, or names, of registered owner)
 of (mailing address)

intend on making an application pursuant to Section 30(1) of the *Agricultural Land Commission Act*
 to exclude from the **Agricultural Land Reserve** the following property which is legally described as,
 (legal description from the title certificate)
 and located at (street address if applicable).

Any person wishing to express an interest in the application may do so by forwarding their comments in
 writing to,
 (name and mailing address of the local government)
 by (14 days from the date of final publication)

2008

SCHEDULE 2

Appendix - Applicant Information Package
--

PROOF OF SERVING NOTICE

AS REQUIRED BY SECTION 16 OF THE *AGRICULTURAL LAND RESERVE USE, SUBDIVISION AND PROCEDURE REGULATION*

I (full name of declarant)
 of (mailing address)
 do solemnly declare that a copy of the notice of application and a copy of the signed application as required by Section 16 of the *Agricultural Land Reserve Procedure Regulation*
 for land legally described as
 was served on the following owners of land:

NAME AND ADDRESS:	LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF LAND:	DATE OF SERVICE:	HOW SERVED: <small>(Registered mail or delivered)</small>

And I make this solemn declaration believing it to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

.....
 (Signature of declarant) (Date)

IMPORTANT - AN APPLICATION FILED UNDER SECTION 30 OF THE *AGRICULTURAL LAND COMMISSION ACT* MUST INCLUDE A COPY OF THIS STATEMENT COMPLETED IN FULL, AND SIGNED AND DATED BY THE PERSON WHO SERVED THE NOTICE.

2008

CARRIED

RC09/087
FEB. 16/09

**Resolution of Support (Liquor Control and Licensing Branch) –
Temporary Use of a Tent for Special Events – Royal Canadian Legion
(32627 Logan Avenue)**

Moved by Councillor Scudder, and

RECOMMENDED:

1. That council supports the temporary change to a liquor license application of the Royal Canadian Legion to allow the use of an outdoor tent accommodating up to 95 occupants for the following special events in 2009:

- May 23 – Mayday celebrations
- July 1 – car rally
- September 12 – Western Days
- November 11 – Remembrance Day
- one date open – special event

at the Royal Canadian Legion property located at 32627 Logan Avenue, Mission, British Columbia and that council's comments on the prescribed considerations are as follows:

- **The potential for noise if the application is approved.** The Royal Canadian Legion is located in an area that is primarily zoned and designated for commercial land uses. Furthermore, the activities undertaken at the legion are such that would not generate excessive noise, even within the proposed tent. In this regard, no adverse impact is anticipated.
- **The impact on the community if the application is approved.** The amendment application is to enable use of a tent for five special event days by the existing Royal Canadian Legion. No adverse impact on the community is anticipated in this regard.
- **The view of residents.** Views of residents were not sought as the application is considered to be a minor amendment to an existing operation in an area that is primarily commercial in nature; and

2. That the director of corporate administration be authorized to complete and sign part 12 of the temporary change to a liquor license application.

CARRIED

RC09/088
FEB. 16/09

Spirit Square Phase 1 Plan and Cost Estimate

Moved by Mayor Atebe, and

RECOMMENDED: That ARC Design International Corporation and Sharp and Diamond Landscape Architecture Inc. proceed with construction drawings for the Spirit Square phase I plan and upon completion of the request for proposal package begin the call for proposal process to construct the Spirit Square.

CARRIED

RC09/089
FEB. 16/09

Staffing Levels – Planning Department

A report from the director of planning dated February 16, 2009 regarding planning department staffing levels was provided for the committee's information.

RC09/090
FEB. 16/09

Excerpts from the Minutes of the Public Hearing held on September 29, 2008 and Staff Reports dated February 2, 2009 and August 20, 2008 – Background for consideration of adoption of bylaw 4085-2008-3143(312) (R08-023 – District of Mission) Zoning bylaw text amendment (Supportive Recovery Use)

Excerpts from the minutes of the public hearing held on September 29, 2008 and the related staff reports dated February 2, 2009 and August 20, 2008 were provided for the committee's information as background information to assist in the consideration of adoption of zone amending bylaw 4085-2008-3143(312).

5. PARKS, RECREATION AND CULTURE

Councillor Scudder assumed the Chair.

RC09/091
FEB. 16/09

Ultimate Fighting/Mixed Martial Arts Events in District Venues

Moved by Councillor Horn, and

RECOMMENDED: That District of Mission maintain the status quo when considering requests to host any ultimate fighting or mixed martial arts competitions in District-owned facilities, which is to deny any non-sanctioned sporting event.

CARRIED

RC09/092
FEB. 16/09

Minutes of the Cultural Resources Commission Meeting held on December 16, 2008

The minutes of the cultural resources commission meeting held on December 16, 2008 were provided for the committee's information.

6. PUBLIC SAFETY AND HEALTH

Councillor Horn assumed the Chair.

RC09/093
FEB. 16/09

Ferndale Institution Population Profile – February 2009

A population profile report from Ferndale Institution for the month of February, 2009 was provided for the committee's information.

Staff were directed to:

- (a) contact Ferndale Institution to ask that the population profile include the number of sex offenders in the facility; and

- (b) contact Mission Institution to ask for monthly population profile reports.

7. ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE

Councillor Plecas assumed the Chair as the alternate for Councillor Stevens.

RC09/094
FEB. 16/09

Staffing Levels – Inspection Services

A report from the director of corporate administration dated January 14, 2009 regarding inspection services department staffing levels was provided for the committee's information.

8. ENGINEERING AND PUBLIC WORKS

Councillor Gidda assumed the Chair.

RC09/095
FEB. 16/09

Compensation for Engineered Wetland at District's Landfill

Moved by Councillor Scudder, and

RECOMMENDED:

1. That restoration of the Mill Pond site proceed as compensation for engineered wetland works at the District's landfill; and
2. That a restrictive covenant be registered over the entire 9.2ha Mill Pond property that would identify a primary objective of biodiversity conservation with a secondary objective of low impact recreation.

CARRIED

Staff were directed to:

- (a) investigate whether fish could be introduced to the pond to allow persons 12 and under and 65 and over (children and grandparents) to fish there; and
- (b) investigate the historical name of "Hammer's Mill pond".

RC09/096
FEB. 16/09

Specific Curbside Collection Addresses on Dewdney Trunk Road

Moved by Councillor Horn, and

RECOMMENDED: That Section 4 of the *Refuse Collection and Disposal Bylaw 1387-1984* be amended to include the following curbside collection preferences of property owners living along the access road to the landfill, by deleting Section 4 in its entirety and replacing it with the following:

- "4. The refuse collection system shall service that portion of the Municipality shown on Plan No. G127E, entitled "Refuse Collection Area," a copy of which is on file in the office of the Clerk of the Municipality, and shall include the following additional properties:

9887 Dewdney Trunk Road
10142 Dewdney Trunk Road
10235 Dewdney Trunk Road
10256 Dewdney Trunk Road
10271 Dewdney Trunk Road
10358 Dewdney Trunk Road
32535 Dewdney Trunk Road
32530 Dewdney Trunk Road
32550 Dewdney Trunk Road
32581 Dewdney Trunk Road
32612 Dewdney Trunk Road
32291 King Avenue"

CARRIED

RC09/097
FEB. 16/09

Realignment of Wilson Street at Ruskin Dam

A report from the director of engineering and public works dated February 6, 2009 regarding the realignment of Wilson Street at the Ruskin Dam was provided for the committee's information.

Staff were directed to ask a representative of BC Hydro to appear as a delegation to council to provide further information on the realignment.

RC09/098
FEB. 16/09

Design of Cedar Street – Cherry to Tunbridge

A report from the director of engineering and public works dated January 27, 2009 regarding the design of Cedar Street between Cherry Avenue and Tunbridge Avenue was provided for the committee's information.

RC09/099
FEB. 16/09

Staffing Levels – Engineering

A report from the director of engineering and public works dated January 16, 2009 regarding engineering department staffing levels was provided for the committee's information.

RC09/100
FEB. 16/09

Minutes of the Mission Abbotsford Transit Committee Meeting held on November 27, 2008

The minutes of the Mission Abbotsford transit committee meeting held on November 27, 2008 were provided for the committee's information.

Moved by Mayor Atebe, and

RECOMMENDED: That council supports, in principle, BC Transit's plan to install and operate security cameras on BC Transit buses throughout British Columbia.

OPPOSED: Councillor Horn

CARRIED

9. RESOLUTION TO RISE AND REPORT

Mayor Atebe resumed the Chair.

RC09/101
FEB. 16/09

Moved by Councillor Scudder, seconded by Councillor Stewart, and

RESOLVED: That the committee of the whole now rise and report.

CARRIED

10. ADOPTION OF COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE REPORT

RC09/102
FEB. 16/09

Moved by Councillor Horn, seconded by Councillor Plecas, and

RESOLVED: That the recommendations of the committee of the whole, as contained in items RC09/077 to RC09/101, except item RC09/100, be adopted.

CARRIED

RC09/102A
FEB. 16/09

Moved by Councillor Gidda, seconded by Councillor Scudder, and

RESOLVED: That the recommendation of the committee of the whole, as contained in item RC09/100, be adopted.

OPPOSED: Councillor Horn

CARRIED

11. MINUTES

RC09/103
FEB. 16/09

Moved by Councillor Gidda, seconded by Councillor Horn, and

RESOLVED: That the minutes of the special meeting of council held on January 20 and 29, 2009, and the minutes of the regular meeting of council held on January 26, 2009 be adopted.

CARRIED

12. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

There was no business arising from the minutes.

13. CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER'S REPORT

The chief administrative officer did not report.

14. MAYOR'S REPORT

The mayor reported on various activities, meetings and events attended since the last regular council meeting.

15. COUNCILLOR'S REPORTS ON COMMITTEES, BOARDS AND ACTIVITIES

Councillors Scudder, Stewart, Horn, Gidda and Plecas reported on various activities, meetings and events attended since the last regular council meeting.

16. BYLAWS

RC09/104
FEB. 16/09

District of Mission Zoning Amending Bylaw 4085-2008-3143(312) (R08-023 – District of Mission) – a bylaw to add the definition of "supportive recovery use" in Section 102 Interpretation and amend sections 105.3, 301.11 (RS-1 zone) and 310.11 (RT-1 zone)

Moved by Councillor Scudder, seconded by Councillor Stewart, and

RESOLVED: That District of Mission zoning amending bylaw 4085-2008-3143(312) be adopted.

CARRIED

RC09/105
FEB. 16/09

District of Mission Kennel Amending Bylaw 5014-2009-2788(3) – a bylaw to amend the definition of "hobby kennel"

Moved by Councillor Gidda, seconded by Councillor Plecas, and

RESOLVED: That District of Mission kennel amending bylaw 5014-2009-2788(3) be adopted.

CARRIED

RC09/106
FEB. 16/09

District of Mission Zoning Amending Bylaw 5016-2009-3143 (323) (R09-001 - DOM) – a bylaw to amend the definitions of "Boarding Use", "Family" and "Accessory Boarding Use" in Section 102

Moved by Councillor Horn, seconded by Councillor Stewart, and

RESOLVED: That District of Mission zoning amending bylaw 5016-2009-3143 (323) be read a first and second time as amended.

CARRIED

RC09/107
FEB. 16/09

District of Mission Refuse Collection and Disposal Amending Bylaw 5017-2009-1387(43) – a bylaw to amend Section 4 to update the list of properties outside the refuse collection area, and serviced by the refuse collection system

Moved by Councillor Scudder, seconded by Councillor Gidda, and

RESOLVED: That District of Mission refuse collection and disposal amending bylaw 5017-2009-1387(43) be read a first, second and third time.
CARRIED

17. OTHER BUSINESS

There was no other business.

18. QUESTION PERIOD

Council and staff responded to questions and comments from the following people:

Lee Hanlon asked how council might word a bylaw to deal with ultimate fighting or mixed martial arts events in District facilities.

Mayor Atebe responded that as there is no bylaw currently being drafted on that issue, council would not speculate as to the wording.

Mr. Hanlon asked if there would be security personnel on BC Transit buses as well as cameras.

Mayor Atebe responded that cameras would be the first step, with a possibility of adding security personnel in the future if the need arises.

Jim Hines, Mission, asked if council would ask the Ministry of Transportation to increase the left turning time at the intersection of Lougheed Highway and Highway 11.

Councillor Horn asked that this matter, as well as the timing of the traffic lights at Haig and Lougheed, be referred to the traffic safety committee.

Matt Johnson, Mission, asked if council would consider installing a speed bump or some kind of traffic control device at Stave Lake Street and Dewdney Trunk Road if a large development would be going in that area.

Mayor Atebe asked that Mr. Johnson please make those kind of valuable comments at the public input meetings for that development, once they are scheduled.

19. ADJOURNMENT

Moved by Councillor Scudder, seconded by Councillor Plecas, and

RESOLVED: That the meeting be adjourned.
CARRIED

The meeting was adjourned at 9:25 p.m.

JAMES ATEBE, MAYOR

DENNIS CLARK, DIRECTOR OF
CORPORATE ADMINISTRATION