

MINUTES of the **REGULAR MEETING** of the **COUNCIL** of the **DISTRICT OF MISSION** held in the Council Chambers of the Municipal Hall, 8645 Stave Lake Street, Mission, British Columbia, on January 24, 2011 commencing at 6:30 p.m.

Council Members Present: Mayor James Atebe
Councillor Terry Gidda
Councillor Paul Horn
Councillor Danny Plecas
Councillor Jenny Stevens
Councillor Heather Stewart

Council Members Absent: Councillor Mike Scudder

Staff Members Present: Glen Robertson, chief administrative officer
Paul Gipps, deputy chief administrative officer
Kelly Ridley, deputy director of corporate administration
Jennifer Russell, legislative assistant

1. PROCLAMATIONS

RC11/020
JAN. 24/11

February 20 to 27, 2011 as “Heritage Week” Mission District Historical Society

Moved by Councillor Stewart, seconded by Councillor Plecas, and

RESOLVED: That February 20 to 27, 2011 be proclaimed as “Heritage Week” within the District of Mission; the District to assume no costs related thereto.

CARRIED

2. DELEGATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

RC11/021
JAN. 24/11

Rick Grant – Recognition of 30 Years of Service with the District of Mission

Mayor Atebe presented Rick Grant, Assistant Operations Manager, with a pin and gift in recognition of his 30 years of service with the District of Mission.

RC11/022
JAN. 24/11

Ian Fitzpatrick – Recognition of the Governor General’s Fire Services 30 Years Exemplary Service Medal

This presentation will be rescheduled.

RC11/023
JAN. 24/11

Margaret Crawford and Flick Billingsley Re: Bylaw Enforcement Dispute

Ms. Margaret Crawford appeared before council to ask that they be allowed to continue with their vehicle salvage business on Cedar Street.

Ms. Crawford stated that:

- she and her partner, Mr. Billingsley, started a scrap car and truck removal business 18 years ago on 4th Avenue;

- they have worked closely with the District's bylaw enforcement officer in the past;
- several years ago they were told they had to move the business to a location north of Cherry Avenue because of the weight of their truck;
- they moved to the Cedar Street property and operated for 9 years;
- they have now been told by the bylaw enforcement department that they cannot operate the business at that location because the trucks are too heavy, and they are not allowed to crush cars; and
- they have now been evicted from this property because they cannot operate their business.

Staff were directed to prepare a report for Council's review at the January 31, 2011 regular meeting.

The Mayor thanked Ms. Crawford for the information.

3. ADOPTION OF INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

RC11/024
JAN. 24/11

Moved by Councillor Stevens, seconded by Councillor Plecas, and

RESOLVED: That the following items be received as information:

- (a) Mission Institution Report – December 2010;
- (b) minutes of the Abbotsford/Mission Water & Sewer Commission meeting held on December 9, 2010;
- (c) minutes of the Mission Community Heritage Commission meeting held on December 1, 2010; and
- (d) draft minutes of the Economic Development Select Committee meeting held on December 16, 2010.

CARRIED

4. RESOLUTION TO RESOLVE INTO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

RC11/025
JAN. 24/11

Moved by Councillor Stewart, seconded by Councillor Gidda, and

RESOLVED: That council now resolve itself into committee of the whole.

CARRIED

5. PUBLIC SAFETY AND HEALTH

Councillor Horn assumed the Chair.

RC11/026
JAN. 24/11

Notice of Motion to Repeal Controlled Substance Property Bylaw 5044-2009

Moved by Councillor Stevens, and

RECOMMENDED: That with the exception of cases referred by the RCMP where they have uncovered a marihuana grow operation, the Public Safety Inspection Team's implementation of Controlled Substance Property Bylaw 5044-2009 be suspended while

the bylaw is under review.

OPPOSED: Mayor Atebe
Councillor Gidda
Councillor Horn

DEFEATED

RC11/027
JAN. 24/11

Moved by Mayor Atebe, and

RECOMMENDED: That further discussion of Controlled Substance Property Bylaw 5044-2009 be referred to a closed meeting due to legal and human resource implications.

OPPOSED: Councillor Plecas
Councillor Stevens
Councillor Stewart

DEFEATED

RC11/028
JAN. 24/11

Moved by Mayor Atebe, and

RECOMMENDED: That the meeting be recessed for five minutes.

CARRIED

The meeting recessed at 7:21 p.m.

The meeting reconvened at 7:26 p.m.

RC11/029
JAN. 24/11

Moved by Mayor Atebe, and

RECOMMENDED: That with the exception of referrals from the RCMP, any new Public Safety Inspection Team inspection operations be deferred for one month, with new information to be brought before council at the end of that time.

CARRIED

RC11/030
JAN. 24/11

Moved by Councillor Stevens, and

RECOMMENDED: That the meeting be suspended.

CARRIED

The meeting was suspended at 7:31 p.m.

RC11/031
JAN. 24/11

Moved by Councillor Horn, and

RECOMMENDED: That the meeting be resumed.

CARRIED

The meeting resumed at 7:33 p.m.

6. QUESTION PERIOD

Stacey Gowanlock:

- (a) How can the people of Mission trust this Council?

Mayor Atebe responded that the inspection program was set up in Mission to provide for neighbourhood safety, as it was set up in other municipalities. Council has heard the concerns and will be conducting a review and taking steps to address them.

- (b) Should the review be completed and Council no longer wants to continue with this program, how do you plan on compensating the people who have been affected over the last two years?

The Mayor responded that he cannot comment on a speculative legal issue.

- (c) Are you willing to allow an independent party to speak with some of the innocent people affected so they can give their point of view and show their evidence?

The Mayor responded that when the process is reviewed and if there is a recommendation made by a third party, Council would take that advice very seriously and would respond accordingly.

Councillor Stevens responded that discussion of legal and personnel issues do have to be held at a closed meeting, and that many of the concerns raised will be addressed at that time. When the discussions have finished and conclusions are drawn, any amendment or replacement of the bylaw will be done in public.

Tim Felger:

- (a) How do you propose to refund innocent people's money?

Mayor Atebe responded that if the team finds no evidence, then there is no fee. It is recognized by Council that people have disagreed with the team's findings.

- (b) When a person gets charged by the police, and are found guilty, then the District charges another fine, isn't that double jeopardy?

Councillor Horn stated that Council is being asked legal questions.

- (c) Why don't you talk about drug regulations and other alternatives to these invasive inspections? Why don't you talk about drug prohibition rather than these side issues?

Councillor Stevens responded that a decision on making drugs legal or not is a federal issue, not a municipal one.

Councillor Horn responded that most members of the public in attendance this evening are here because they are concerned about whether the PSIT process is fair, not about drug legalization or harm reduction.

Nelson Tilbury:

Can you give us some public input with this, and welcome our input?

Mayor Atebe responded that part of the process we will be going through is to have public input.

Councillor Stevens responded that input is always welcomed by Council, and can be done by individual emails, or by writing letters to Mayor and Council.

Councillor Horn stated that Council will be asking staff to provide a framework for how this can be brought to a public input process. He further stated that Council recognizes that people are angry because they wanted an opportunity to speak long ago.

Mayor Atebe stated that after Council has had an opportunity to review and frame the bylaw, they will invite people to provide comments and input.

Kevin Francis:

Why do I, as a citizen, have to use my time and money to listen to these people, when that is your job?

Mayor Atebe responded that Council is doing its job, and reiterated that there will be review to try to balance individual rights with public safety.

Ron Taylor:

- (a) If on reconsideration, you decide inspections should have not been done, as part of the budget process, are you making financial provisions to cover any liabilities that the District of Mission may have?
- (b) When you go into this review process, will you commit that there will be an end to the lack of due process?

Mayor Atebe responded that Council cannot answer questions about a speculated class action suit, and does not have a budget in place for a speculated liability. He further stated that Council has and will follow due process, and it is recognized that some people disagree with that process.

Larry Nundal:

- (a) How can I rely on this Council to do a thorough, unbiased review of this bylaw and come up with something that will work?

Mayor Atebe responded that this Council has made a commitment to put it before the community for public input.

- (b) What will happen to some of the people who have been affected to date? Where is the adjudication process? What will happen in the interim during this one month suspension?

Mayor Atebe responded that people will have an opportunity to comment after the review.

- (c) Can anyone defer the \$5200 on their tax bill in the meantime?

Mayor Atebe responded that Council will be considering that as well in the review.

Joel:

- (a) Are the inspectors possibly taking the work too personally?
- (b) Why is there no proof about where mould in a house actually comes from?

Mayor Atebe responded that the review will take into account all aspects of the process.

- (c) Is there anything you can start within this first month to determine if a house has actually been a grow op?

Councillor Horn responded that the review of the bylaw has to be done before other

decisions are made, as Council cannot just act outside of a bylaw.

Othmar Kagi:

- (a) When will this Council provide for an inclusive community where people can provide the input before you make a decision?

Mayor Atebe responded that Council always strives to work toward that, and the concerns raised tonight are an example of how sometimes it doesn't achieve everyone's expectations.

- (b) Why did it have to come to people being damaged by this before it came out?

Councillor Horn responded that this bylaw was brought before the public, just as all other bylaws are brought before the public. Mission is not the only municipality that has this type of a bylaw. The opinions expressed here tonight are not the only opinions that Council has heard.

Stacey Gowanlock:

- (a) Is the one month suspension effective immediately?
(b) Will you also defer the collection of fees during that one month as well as the addition of interest?

RC11/032
JAN. 24/11

Moved by Councillor Horn, and

RECOMMENDED:

1. That no further action with respect to public safety inspection fees be taken during the next one month;
2. That staff provide a report within one week containing options for what can be done with current public safety inspection fees during the one month suspension of the program, and
3. That staff provide a report containing recommendations for a process in which the public can provide comments on Controlled Substance Property Bylaw 5044-2009.

CARRIED

Brian Fairfax:

- (a) Will you provide the citizens with a list of the criteria that you use to hire the inspectors?
(b) Do they get any training in public service or how to speak to people?

Mayor Atebe responded that every citizen deserves to be treated with respect, and public service should be provided in an appropriate and professional manner.

Larry Ulmer:

How can there be a due process, when we were scheduled to go on the agenda as a delegation, then three days before we were taken off the agenda because we were told it was "under review"?

Mr. Gowanlock clarified that Mr. Ulmer was referring to the Section 57 hearings.

Mayor Atebe responded that the Section 57 hearing is separate process from appearing as a delegation to Council, and that the entire process, including follow up, will be part of the overall review.

Margaret Hunt:

- (a) If the PSIT inspections still going ahead if the RCMP determines that they should, which inspector will be entering people's homes to do the inspections?

Mayor Atebe responded that the PSIT inspections will be put on hold for one month, except when the RCMP determines they have uncovered a grow-op.

- (b) Why are the police in attendance when the PSIT inspections are done, even if the inspection is initiated by the District, not the police?

Mayor Atebe responded that when the RCMP suspects there is a grow operation, they do their own investigation under the *Criminal Code*. The PSIT team inspections are directed by the municipality.

- (c) Why is there a whole team and not just an electrical inspector?

Councillor Horn responded that the public safety inspection team does not just perform electrical inspections, they also look at hygiene (mould), structure, gas, plumbing, the whole building. The electrical threshold is what first gives indication that there may be a problem, but not the only thing the team is looking for. People were complaining that they were unknowingly purchasing houses that had been former grow ops and they were infested with mould. A team inspects for a variety of building code deficits including, but not only, electrical.

- (d) Why is a police officer there with the PSIT team, and why are we as taxpayers paying for that?

Mayor Atebe responded that the PSIT fee does not come from the general taxpayer, it is a cost recovery for the inspection, including the police officer.

- (e) Do I have the right to ask that only an electrical inspector be allowed into my property?

Councillor Horn responded that the whole team must attend. The RCMP attends for security purposes only, not investigations.

Len Gratto:

- (a) Why wasn't I criminally charged if I had such a big grow op?
- (b) What is the point of having a delegation to Council if not one decision is overturned?
- (c) How long has this bylaw has been in place?

Councillor Horn responded that the team does not rely on an individual determination, they look at it based on a number of criteria, and they have to all come to the same conclusion. That is part of the process that is under review.

Stacey Gowanlock:

- (a) If the District's inspection team comes into a home because it is under suspicion of a marijuana grow operation and determines there is enough evidence to charge a fee, why is that not enough evidence for the RCMP to come in and pursue criminal charges?

Councillor Horn stated that Council cannot answer a legal question, or how the police operate.

- (b) Why hasn't the District taken one person to task on the full \$10,000 fine as set out in the bylaw?

Jeff McSwain:

- (a) Why did the inspection team spend hours at my residence, with RCMP waiting outside, but only spend a few minutes at my rental property before determining that the renovations were because the house was a grow-op?
- (b) What gives them the right to say my property is a grow op and embarrass my family?
- (c) Is that fine rescinded?
- (d) Will I be able to sell my property if the rumours are that it was a grow-op yet there is no proof that it was?

Mayor Atebe responded that Council cannot address the specific details of his concerns, however those concerns are why Council wants to review the bylaw.

- (e) What is the District's criteria for a hiring building inspector?
- (f) Is the team member a building inspector or a home inspector?
- (g) Is the electrical inspector certified or just an electrician?
- (h) Do you or do you not believe in the basic rights and civil liberties of every member in this society?

Mayor Atebe responded that there is not one member of this Council that does not believe in civil liberties. The question is not whether this or any other council in the Lower Mainland has disrespect for civil liberties by supporting this kind of bylaw. The issue under debate this evening is whether the program is serving the community of Mission and if it is not, how can it be changed so that it does?

RC11/033
JAN. 24/11

Moved by Councillor Horn, and

RECOMMENDED: That the review of Controlled Substance Property Bylaw 5044-2009 include consideration of the qualifications that would be most appropriate to the Public Safety Inspection Team, as well as details of the recruitment process and public relations training.

CARRIED

Mike Guthnic:

- (a) How does the bylaw operate, how is it effective?

Councillor Horn responded that the bylaw is on the District's website, or if he can obtain a copy from staff.

- (b) Why do I have to give more notice as a landlord to enter a property, when the PSIT inspectors can give only 24 hours' notice by a registered letter received only the day before?

Councillor Horn responded that the law governing landlord/tenant relations is different than the law that effects the District. The bylaw states 24 hours' notice must be given and

it is legal in that respect.

Woman:

Is the 24 hours' notice from when you receive the notice?

Councillor Horn responded that the notice is posted on the property that is to be inspected 24 hours in advance of the inspection.

Trish Banfield:

(a) Why did I get a "do not occupy" notice on my door when the only safety issue the inspectors found in my house was 5 missing electrical receptacle covers and a cover on a gas pipe?

(b) How can this happen and why is it still be going on?

Mayor Atebe stated that Council cannot answer specifics about individual cases. He reiterated that Council will be reviewing the bylaw as a result of the many concerns raised.

Stacey Gowanlock:

Why does the District of Mission choose to violate the BC Court of Appeal May 2010 ruling that these programs are illegal and that you can no longer enter homes without a proper search warrant?

Ed Thiesser:

How is the District of Mission different from czarist Russia or any other government that can just go in and take whatever they want?

Mayor Atebe thanked everyone for coming out to express their concerns, and reiterated that the public safety inspections will be suspended for one month while Council reviews the bylaw.

Councillor Stevens excused herself from the meeting at 9:24 p.m.

7. PLANNING

Councillor Stewart assumed the Chair.

RC11/034
JAN. 24/11

Third Reading Report (R10-013) District of Mission Industrial Development Permit Guidelines

Moved by Councillor Horn, and

RECOMMENDED:

1. That Official Community Plan Bylaw 5137-2010-4052(9) be further amended by inserting the following text within the proposed Industrial Development Permit Guidelines, before the 'Exemption' heading:

"Sustainability Initiatives

All industrial proposals must submit a sustainability statement which provides an overview of sustainability initiatives incorporated within the development. Items to be addressed within the statement must be verifiable and relate specifically to:

- Rainwater Management (Impervious Surface Reduction, green roof treatment, rain barrels or cisterns, etc.)
 - Recycling and Composting Facilities
 - Water Use Reduction (Low consumption fixtures, grey water systems, rainwater recycling, water efficient landscaping etc.)
 - Energy Efficiency (High performance envelopes, low energy consumption appliances, passive solar gain, renewable energy systems or pre plumbing for solar panels etc.)
 - Materials and Resources (Locally obtained building materials, recycled content, construction waste management etc.)
 - Social Sustainability (End of trip facilities, bike storage, landscaped gathering places, light pollution reduction etc.)"
2. That Official Community Plan Bylaw 5137-2010-4052(9) be forwarded to a Public Hearing on February 28, 2011, given that new information and requirements will form part of the bylaw.
 3. That planning staff be instructed to modify LAN. 41 "Guide to Land Development" to require all industrial development applicants to submit a "Sustainability Statement" with all Development Permit, Industrial Temporary Use Permit and Rezoning applications.

CARRIED

RC11/035
JAN. 24/11

Moved by Councillor Horn, and

RECOMMENDED: That the meeting be extended until all business on the agenda has been concluded.

CARRIED

RC11/036
JAN. 24/11

Refund Amount Revision for Development Applications

Moved by Councillor Plecas, and

RECOMMENDED:

1. That the Corporate Officer prepare a bylaw to amend District of Mission Land Use Application Procedures and Fees Bylaw 3612-2003 by:
 - i. Deleting all text after "Refunds" on page 6 of Schedule "A"; and
 - ii. replacing the text with:
 - "1. For rezoning applications:
 - a. withdrawn in writing by the applicant within 30 days of submission, a refund of 50% of the application fee;

- b. withdrawn in writing by the applicant 30 or more days after submission but prior to the public hearing notice being prepared or advertised, 20% of the application fee shall be refunded to the applicant;
 - c. withdrawn in writing by the applicant after preparation or advertising of the public hearing notice, no refund.
2. For all other development applications:
- a. withdrawn in writing by the applicant within 30 days of submission, a refund of 50% of the application fee;
 - b. withdrawn in writing by the applicant 30 or more days after submission but prior to consideration by Council, 20% of the application fee shall be refunded to the applicant;
 - c. withdrawn in writing by the applicant after consideration by Council, no refund.”; and
2. That the bylaw be considered for first, second and third readings at the regular council meeting on January 24, 2011.

CARRIED

RC11/037
JAN. 24/11

**Development Variance Permit Application DV10-016 (BC Frozen Foods) –
33920 Lougheed Highway – Development Variance and Exemption from
Floodplain Bylaw**

Moved by Mayor Atebe, and

RECOMMENDED:

1. That the request to reduce the setback in the Floodplain Management Bylaw 4027-2007 for the Fraser River from 60.0 metres (196.8 ft.) to 34 metres (111.5 ft.) to allow for the construction of a 2300 sq. m. steel warehouse building for the property legally described as:
 - Parcel Identifier: 011-908-331
 - Parcel B, Except: Part on Plan 50216 District Lot 1, Group 3, New Westminster District Reference Plan 78783be approved; and
2. That Development Variance Permit Application DV10-016, in the name of BC Frozen Foods to vary Section 1004 (E) (1) of District of Mission Zoning Bylaw 5050-2009 by reducing the minimum required;
 - Setback to the interior side lot line from 1.5 metres (4.92 ft.) to 0.8 metres (2.62 ft.) to allow for the construction of a new steel 2300 sq. m warehouse building located at 33920 Lougheed Highway, be approved.

CARRIED

RC11/038
JAN. 24/11

**Excerpt from the Minutes of the Public Hearing held on November 22, 2010
with Related Staff Reports dated November 1 and December 20, 2010
(R10-026 - Haffner)**

An excerpt from the minutes of the public hearing held on November 22, 2010 and a copy of the related staff reports dated November 1 and December 20, 2010 were provided to

the committee as background information to assist in the consideration of adoption of bylaw 5178-2010-5050(29).

Moved by Councillor Plecas, and

RECOMMENDED: That consideration of adoption of Zoning Amending Bylaw 5178-2010-5050(29) be deferred until all members of council are present to vote.

OPPOSED: Mayor Atebe
Councillor Gidda
Councillor Horn

DEFEATED

RC11/039
JAN. 24/11

LATE ITEM – Town Square Project for the Downtown

Moved by Mayor Atebe, and

RECOMMENDED:

1. That staff be directed to post a request for an expression of interest for a design and build Town Square project on the site at the west end of 1st Avenue and the paved median at the junction of 1st Avenue and the Lougheed Highway; and
2. That up to \$100,000 from the Gaming Reserve Fund be made available for a town square project at the west end of 1st Avenue and the junction of 1st Avenue and the Lougheed Highway.

OPPOSED: Councillor Plecas

CARRIED

8. ENGINEERING AND PUBLIC WORKS

Councillor Gidda assumed the Chair.

RC11/040
JAN. 24/11

Waste and Wildlife Attractant Management Bylaw

Moved by Councillor Plecas, and

RECOMMENDED: That Collection, Removal and Marketing of Recyclables Bylaw 2639-1993 and Refuse Collection and Disposal Bylaw 1387-1984 be repealed and replaced when a streamlined bylaw to address the management of waste, recyclables and compost, as well as wildlife attractants is prepared and submitted to Council for approval.

CARRIED

RC11/041
JAN. 24/11

Application for Grant Funding for Compost Bins

Moved by Councillor Stewart, and

RECOMMENDED: That staff apply for a grant under the Federation of Canadian Municipalities' Green Municipal Funds program to defray the cost of purchasing compost bins and related infrastructure at the landfill.

CARRIED

RC11/042
JAN. 24/11

Cost of Commercial Water Meter Replacement

Moved by Councillor Horn, and

RECOMMENDED: That staff apply for a grant under the Gas Tax Agreement General Strategic Priorities Fund for funding that will be used for the implementation of residential and ICI water meters within the District's water supply area.

CARRIED

RC11/043
JAN. 24/11

LATE ITEM – Purchasing Web-GIS Software

Moved by Councillor Plecas, and

RECOMMENDED:

1. That the District purchases one IVAULT web-based GIS application for the District's internal and external (public) users for a price of \$37,063, including net HST, annual maintenance cost, staff training, and contingencies;
2. That a capital budget of \$34,519 be assigned in 2011 for purchasing the web-GIS software with funding coming from the following projects:
 - GIS budget of \$20,000 from 2010 - All fund be carried forward towards the web-GIS software purchase,
 - GIS-ARC Editor/AutoCAD licenses budget of \$25,500 from 2012 - \$14,519 of it be used towards purchasing web-GIS software, leaving an unused balance of \$10,481), and
3. That the annual budget of \$2,544 that is needed to maintain the new software, be offset with additional un-budgeted revenues in the Engineering Department.

CARRIED

9. ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE

Councillor Plecas assumed the Chair as the alternate for Councillor Stevens.

RC11/044
JAN. 24/11

Downhill Mountain Bike Race on Red Mountain – Arduum Challenge

Moved by Mayor Atebe, and

RECOMMENDED:

1. That permission be granted to Karla Stowards and Dan Gronross to hold the annual Arduum Mountain Bike Race on Red Mountain in the District of Mission on July 9 and 10, 2011 subject to them:
 - providing comprehensive general liability insurance in the amount of at least \$5,000,000. per occurrence and the District of Mission be named as an additional insured;
 - advising the neighbourhood of the event dates and times;
 - installing and removing of portable toilets as well as site clean up after the event is finished;

- preparing a contingency plan should human waste be spilled with the plan being reviewed and approved by district staff prior to the event taking place;
 - defining off-street areas for participant parking and number of vehicles that can be accommodated at the site with limited parking taking place at Mill pond. District staff to review the parking plan and approve prior to event taking place. Organizers to place signage at Mill pond ensuring that participants and spectators do not park there as well as provide traffic control to monitor the situation throughout the day;
 - providing on-site first aid services;
 - providing all necessary traffic control; and
2. That permission be granted for the event organizers to borrow traffic cones and barriers from the public works yard. Pick up and drop off of these item to be arranged by the event organizers with District staff.

CARRIED

Mayor Atebe asked that the previous recommendation be reconsidered, pursuant to Section 131 of the *Community Charter*.

RC11/045
JAN. 24/11

Moved by Councillor Horn, and

RECOMMENDED: That permission be granted to hold the annual Arduum Mountain Bike Race on Red Mountain in the District of Mission for the next five years (2011 through 2015), subject to an annual review by staff.

CARRIED

RC11/046
JAN. 24/11

15th Annual Bear Mountain Race

Moved by Councillor Horn, and

RECOMMENDED: That permission be granted to hold the annual Bear Mountain Challenge Mountain Bike Race in the District of Mission for the next five years (2011 through 2015), subject to an annual review by staff, and subject to the race organizers providing:

- comprehensive general liability insurance in the amount of at least \$5,000,000. per occurrence and including the District of Mission as a named insured;
- advising the neighbourhood of the event dates and times;
- all site preparation including installation and removal of portable toilets as well as site clean up after the event is finished;
- off street vehicle parking, define off-street areas for participant parking and number of vehicles that can be accommodated at the site;
- on-site first aid services; and
- all necessary traffic control.

CARRIED

RC11/047
JAN. 24/11

Appointment of Poundkeeper/Animal Control Officer

Moved by Councillor Stewart, and

RECOMMENDED: That Ms. Sherry Taylor be appointed by the District of Mission as the Poundkeeper/Animal Control Officer from January 7, 2011 to December 31, 2016.

CARRIED

RC11/048
JAN. 24/11

Proposed Extra Strength Rate Increase

Moved by Mayor Atebe, and

RECOMMENDED:

1. That the extra strength rates for Bio-Chemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) be increased from \$0.39 to \$0.42 per kilogram and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) from \$0.42 to \$0.47 per kilogram; and
2. That Schedule "D" (BOD and TSS Waste Charges) of Sewer Bylaw 5033-2009 be amended accordingly.

CARRIED

RC11/049
JAN. 24/11

Request for Funding for Challenge Day Event

Moved by Councillor Horn, and

RECOMMENDED:

1. That funding in the amount of \$2,000.00 from the Council Contingency Fund be provided to the Fraser House Society to assist with the Challenge Day event in February 2011; and
2. That a letter be sent to School District 75 asking that they consider providing a financial contribution as well as the in-kind support for this event.

CARRIED

RC11/050
JAN. 24/11

New Fingerprinting Rules for Volunteers (Councillor Horn)

Moved by Councillor Horn, and

RECOMMENDED:

1. That a letter be sent to RCMP headquarters in Ottawa and to Randy Kamp, MP expressing concern with the long timeline for processing volunteer fingerprints;
2. That staff prepare a draft resolution to expedite the volunteer fingerprint processing time at the RCMP headquarters for Council's consideration; and
3. That the approved resolution be forwarded to the Lower Mainland Local Government Association, the Union of British Columbia Municipalities and the Federation of Canadian Municipalities.

CARRIED

10. RESOLUTION TO RISE AND REPORT

Mayor Atebe resumed the Chair.

RC11/051
JAN. 24/11

Moved by Councillor Plecas, seconded by Councillor Horn, and

RESOLVED: That the committee of the whole now rise and report.

CARRIED

11. ADOPTION OF COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE REPORT

RC11/052
JAN. 24/11

Moved by Councillor Gidda, seconded by Councillor Horn, and

RESOLVED: That the recommendations of the committee of the whole, as contained in items RC11/025 to RC11/051, except item RC11/039 (Town Square), be adopted.

CARRIED

RC11/053
JAN. 24/11

Moved by Councillor Horn, seconded by Councillor Stewart, and

RESOLVED: That the recommendation of the committee of the whole, as contained in item RC11/039 (Town Square), be adopted.

OPPOSED: Councillor Plecas

CARRIED

12. BYLAWS

RC11/054
JAN. 24/11

**District of Mission Zoning Amending Bylaw 5178-2010-5050(29)
(R10-026 – Haffner) – 8224, 8231, 8237 Tanaka Terrace and
8240, 8244, 8246 Haffner Terrace**

Moved by Councillor Horn, seconded by Councillor Gidda, and

RESOLVED: That District of Mission Zoning Amending Bylaw 5178-2010-5050(29) be adopted.

OPPOSED: Councillor Plecas
Councillor Stewart

CARRIED

RC11/055
JAN. 24/11

**District of Mission Land Use Application Procedures and Fees Amending
Bylaw 5192-2011-3612(7)**

Moved by Councillor Horn, seconded by Councillor Gidda, and

RESOLVED: That District of Mission Land Use Application Procedures and Fees Amending Bylaw 5192-2011-3612(7) be read a first, second and third time.

CARRIED

RC11/056
JAN. 24/11

District of Mission Sewer Amending Bylaw 5193-2011-5033(1)

Moved by Councillor Horn, seconded by Councillor Gidda, and

RESOLVED: That District of Mission Sewer Amending Bylaw 5193-2011-5033(1) be read a first, second and third time.

CARRIED

13. MINUTES

RC11/057
JAN. 24/11

Moved by Councillor Plecas, seconded by Councillor Stewart, and

RESOLVED: That the minutes of the regular meeting of council held on January 10, 2011 be adopted.

CARRIED

14. OTHER BUSINESS

RC11/058
JAN. 24/11

Release from Closed Council – January 10 and 17, 2011

The following resolutions were released:

That a summary document outlining the District of Mission's interest in the former Meeker properties be released from closed council. (January 10, 2011)

That the report dated December 8, 2010 from the fire chief regarding the false alarm at Heritage Secondary School on October 2, 2010 be released from closed council. (January 10, 2011)

That Paul Gipps, Deputy Chief Administrative Officer, be appointed as the Chief Election Officer and that Kelly Ridley, Deputy Director of Corporate Administration, be appointed as Deputy Chief Election Officer for conducting the 2011 general local elections. (January 17, 2011)

RC11/059
JAN. 24/11

LATE ITEM – Release from Closed Council – January 17, 2011

On January 17, 2011 District of Mission Council resolved that a review of Controlled Substance Property Bylaw 5044-2009 be undertaken.

15. CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER'S REPORT

The chief administrative officer did not report.

16. MAYOR'S REPORT

The mayor reported on various activities, meetings and events attended since the last regular council meeting.

17. COUNCILLOR'S REPORTS ON COMMITTEES, BOARDS AND ACTIVITIES

Councillors Horn and Gidda reported on various activities, meetings and events attended since the last regular council meeting.

RC11/060
JAN. 24/11

Moved by Councillor Horn, seconded by Councillor Stewart, and

RESOLVED: That Ron Plowright, Tracey Takahashi and Amanda Tesluk be recognized at a regular meeting of Council for their efforts in organizing the "Back to Health ...For Good" fair held on January 15, 2011.

CARRIED

18. QUESTION PERIOD

There were no questions from the public.

19. ADJOURNMENT

Moved by Councillor Gidda, seconded by Councillor Horn, and

RESOLVED: That the meeting be adjourned.

CARRIED

The meeting was adjourned at 10:24 p.m.



JAMES ATEBE, MAYOR



PAUL GIPPS, DEPUTY CHIEF
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER